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Four maps are presented here that show the location and extent of magmatic fields between eastern Alaska and northern Mexico during the successive time intervals of 55-40, 40-25, 25-10, and 10-0 Ma. and four others show the distribution of metamorphic core complexes during the same Cenozoic intervals. The maps are based on U.S. Geological Survey and Canadian Cordilleran data bases containing about 6000 isotopic dates and extensive literature review. For nearly 60 Ma the development of metamorphic core complexes has coincided with the locus of areally extensive and voluminous intermediate-composition magmatic fields. The association is suggestive of a close link between magmatism and complex formation, namely that magma directly and indirectly lowers the strength of the crust. Magmatism thus controls the location and timing of core complex formation. The stresses responsible may be inherited from Mesozoic crustal thickening, locally created by uplift and magmatic thickening of the crust, and imposed by the global pattern of plate motions and driving forces. Since the Miocene, rates of magmatism, extension, and core complex formation have declined. The modern Basin and Range province is not a suitable model for the situation that existed during major magmatic culminations. The singular event of early Miocene time, the merging of two large magmatic fields, extinguishing the Laramide magmatic gap, explains several disconnected observations: the hyperextension episode of the Colorado River corridor, rapid reorientation of stress patterns across much of western North America, and subsequent rapid tectonic movements in California. Magma-triggered breakup of western North America lithosphere coincided with development of the San Andreas transform system. Thermal destruction of the Laramide magmatic gap created a California "microplate" about 22 Ma ago that moved rapidly away from North America. Thus two plate tectonic processes, thermal destruction of the lithosphere "bridge" and northward growth of a transform system, interacted to produce Miocene and later tectonic patterns and events.

INTRODUCTION

There have been many reviews of the Cenozoic magmatic evolution of the western United States [Armstrong et al., 1969; McKee, 1971; Lipman et al., 1971; Christiansen and Lipman, 1972; Lipman et al., 1972; Armstrong and Higgins, 1973; Snyder et al., 1976; Cross and Pilger, 1978; Armstrong, 1978; Coney and Reynolds, 1977; Stewart and Carlson, 1978; Christiansen and McKee, 1978; Luedke and Smith 1979a, 1979b, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984, 1986]; Luedke et al. [1983]; Lipman, 1980; Ewing, 1980; Smith and Luedke, 1984; Mauk and Luedke, 1988; Myschler et al., 1988], southeastern Alaska [Brew, 1988] and some broad overviews of the Cenozoic magmatic history of western Canada [Souther, 1967, 1970, 1977; Noel, 1979]. Nevertheless, there has never been a single presentation of the distribution of magmatic activity over the entire Cordilleran region extending from southeastern Alaska to the Mexican border (Figure 1). None of the previous reviews has been based on as large a data base as we have assembled. Our compilation for the Cenozoic is an outgrowth of work for another paper [Armstrong and Ward, 1991] that synthesized the Late Triassic to early Cenozoic (230-55 Ma) magmatic history of the Cordilleran region and discussed the significance of those evolving magmatic patterns for the Western Interior Basin. The mandate of the first paper did not include the Cenozoic, but the abund ant data on age and distribution of magmatism did not stop in the early Cenozoic. In fact, the time and space distribution of Cenozoic magmatism is richest and accurately documented. It was almost no extra effort to continue the compilation, done up to 55 Ma ago for the Western Interior Basin paper, to the present. Moreover, there were other interesting stories to tell. The maps that are central to both papers were created together from a large body of recently assembled information. Together they provide a cinematic image of evolving magmatic patterns, presented in uniform graphic style, for Late Triassic to present time. In discussing magmatism we include data from both intrusive and extrusive igneous rocks. For the Cenozoic the data base is weighted toward volcanic rocks, whereas in the Mesozoic intrusive rocks are predominant. Where studied in detail, intrusive bodies and thick lava accumulations are coincident in time and are essentially coextensive. Distal volcanic ash layers must of course be disregarded in mapping the extent of magmatically active areas.

For many years the U.S. Geological Survey has been building a Radiometric Age Data Bank (RADB) [Zartman et al., 1976]. Coverage has gradually been compiled state by state. Ward [1986, and unpublished manuscripts, 1986, 1988] recently assembled the state files for the entire
western United States and produced a series of computer-plotted maps showing distribution of dated rocks during a sequence of time intervals. He discussed the observed magmatic history and possible plate tectonic explanations, with particular emphasis on the Cenozoic patterns in the western United States.

The Canadian Isotopic Date Data File was converted to computer-readable form by Bentzen [1987], with support from the Geological Survey of Canada and British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, during the winter of 1986-1987. We merged the two data banks to provide reasonably comprehensive coverage for the entire North American Cordillera between Mexico and Alaska (Figure 1).

There are 11,500 age determinations plotted on the maps that we prepared spanning the last 230 Ma in the Cordillera. About 50% of those are within the 55-0 Ma time interval that we focus on here. The data presented in this paper represent a fourfold increase in the number of isotopic dates compiled since the last similar syntheses [Snyder et al., 1976; Cross and Pilger, 1978], but there are certain necessary disclaimers. The data are not complete for many reasons. The intent of the compilers of RADB was to include only dates published in major journals with supporting details on analytical techniques, decay constants used, and observed consistency with other dates and geologic relationships. The Canadian file, in contrast, contains many unpublished, or grey literature, items. Some large regions,
such as northern Washington, northern British Columbia, and the Yukon, are less well studied and may not be properly represented in this type of summary. Young deposits may obscure the older record in areas such as the Intermontane Belt of British Columbia, Columbia Plateau, Snake River Plain, and Puget-Willamette Trough. Neither file is entirely up to date or complete. For example, the Canadian file on magmatic tape has been updated only to late 1984, did not have unpublished data from universities other than the University of British Columbia or from the Geological Survey of Canada, and was known to be incomplete with respect to dates for ash layers in the Western Interior Basin. The RADB was last updated in 1986. There are unknown amounts of grey literature, unpublished data, and overlooked data that result in incomplete representation of the information that exists at any time. Probably another 20-30% could be added today to the data collection. Both large files will contain errors and misleading dates. The Canadian file has been fairly heavily edited to flag any dubious results or results giving dates for metamorphic events or partially reset dates. It is clear from study of the raw plots that the U.S. file contains many questionable items; these have been assigned to the "ash layer or dubious" category, which are shown as small dots on the maps. An effort to bring both files completely up to date and to edit them exhaustively would involve years of scholarly work and is beyond the limits of this study. This is thus only a progress report on an unending process of data generation, systematization, and synthesis. Our goal here is to describe the time and space distribution of Cenozoic magmatism and focus on the implications for Cenozoic metamorphic core complexes and continental deformation.

PROCEDURE

From the merged computer files [Ward, 1986; Armstrong, 1988], two series of maps were plotted using Albers equal-area conic projections: one from 46° to 67.5°N (western Canada and adjacent parts of Alaska and the western United States), and the other from 28° to 50°N (western United States and adjacent parts of Canada and Mexico). All dates used were calculated or recalculated using International Union of Geological Sciences (IUGS) conventional decay constants. It has not been practical to compile volumetric and petrochemical data for this review. The published papers cited in the introduction contain abundant information on petrochemistry (especially Christiansen and Lipman [1972], Lipman et al. [1972], Luedke and Smith [1979a, 1979b, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984, 1986], Luedke et al. [1983], Mutschler et al. [1988]). In this paper we make only passing mention of the petrochemical character of magmatic fields.

Throughout this discussion we accept the Decade of North American Geology (DNAG) time scale calibration [Palmer, 1983] as most up-to-date, accurate, and conventional. A revision of the Harland et al. [1982] time scale [Harland et al., 1990] makes no substantial departures from the DNAG scale, in spite of an enlarged data base.

THE TIME INTERVALS USED FOR COMPIlATION:

THE CHANGING TEMPO OF MAGMATISM

Plate 1 shows the distribution of magmatism in the first time interval illustrated in this paper, from 55 to 40 Ma. On histograms of Cordilleran magmatic activity for the region north of latitude 42°N [e.g., Armstrong, 1974a; Armstrong et al., 1977; Armstrong, 1988] this time interval brackets a prominent magmatic episode for which the composite name "Kamloops-Challis-Absaroka" is used. This episode began in Canada and northern Washington about 60 Ma ago, culminated over a large region at 50±1 Ma and was in decline by 40 Ma. By 40 Ma, magmatism within much of the previously active region had ceased, and a southward transgression of magmatism into the future Basin and Range Province had begun [Lipman et al., 1972; Armstrong and Higgins, 1973].

The time-subdivisions of the Cenozoic after 40 Ma are somewhat arbitrary. Although magmatism is always locally episodic, the episodes blur into a continuum of magmatic activity when the whole Cordilleran region is viewed during later Cenozoic time. No comprehensive lulls punctuate the Cenozoic magmatic history. In contrast with the Mesozoic [Armstrong and Ward, 1991], the discussion of Cenozoic magmatism replaces talk of episodic episodes to local features and becomes dominated by description of migrating patterns of activity, time-transgressive shifts of pattern, waxing and waning magmatic loci, persistent loci, and magmatic gaps. A fine-scale episodicity has been discussed for the Cascade to Snake River Plain region [McBirney et al., 1974; Armstrong, 1975], but it is not clear from the larger body of isotopic data that we reviewed how applicable those details (Columbia, 16-13 Ma; Andean 10-9 Ma; Fijian 6-3 Ma; and Cascade 1-0 Ma episodes of McBirney [1978]) are to the Cordilleran region as a whole. Priest [1989] is even skeptical of their application in the Cascades in central Oregon. There does appear to be a reduction in magmatic activity to a minimum at about 40 Ma before an increase to a weak maximum in Oligocene time (about 35-30
Plate 1. Distribution of isotopic age determinations for the time interval 40-55 Ma ago (early and middle Eocene time). Red indicates extent of magmatic activity for the time interval and time-transgressive trends in the distribution of magmatic activity.
Plate 2. Distribution of isotopic age determinations for the time interval 25-40 Ma ago (late Eocene to latest Oligocene time). Red indicates extent of magmatic activity for the time interval and time-transgressive trends in the distribution of magmatic activity.
Ma), the ignimbrite flareup, followed by a steady decline toward the end of the Cenozoic. The Oligocene maximum was previously emphasized by Gilluly [1973] because his data base was confined largely to Basin and Range latitudes. White and McElwirn [1978] and Verplank and Duncan [1987] discuss the general decline since 35 Ma in the Cascade region. The voluminous basalt, erupted between 17 and 14 Ma in the Columbia Plateau region [Christiansen and McKee, 1978; Barrash and Venkatakrishnan, 1982; Barrash et al., 1983], is a local anomaly, which is also evident in increased magmatism in adjacent areas but not over the entire Cordilleran region. In the Basin and Range and Rio Grande Rift areas a lull at about 17 Ma has been reported by several workers [McKee et al., 1970; Chapin and Seager, 1975; Aldrich et al., 1986]. In many areas there is evidence of a Pliocene full followed by accelerated magmatism during the last 2-3 Ma [McElwirn et al., 1974; Armstrong, 1975; Smith and Luedke, 1984], but this is a detail not resolved in our compilation. Moreover, because rocks of very young age are difficult to date accurately by K-Ar, they are probably underrepresented in the data base.

For Plates 2 to 4 we have somewhat arbitrarily chosen time intervals of 40-25, 25-10, and 10-0 Ma. The 40-25 Ma interval captures the main episode of ash flow volcanism in the Great Basin, southern Arizona, and New Mexico in the Eastern Rocky Mountains, as well as the establishment of the Cascade volcanic arc. Between 25 and 10 Ma the most dramatic magmatic event was the eruption of basalt in the Columbia Plateau region. Over much of the Cordillera south of the Colorado Plateau this was also the time of change from intermediate-composition ash flow to bimodal, fundamentally basalt eruptions [Lipman et al., 1971; Christiansen and Lipman, 1972]. Basin and Range and Rio Grande rifting were prominent developments that accompanied the change in magmatic style. The magmatic patterns from 10 Ma to the present are essentially those of the present day, with only evolutionary modifications.

REVIEW OF MAGMATIC EVOLUTION

Prior to 55 Ma

We begin this synthesis with a brief review of magmatic patterns just before 55 Ma that are discussed by Armstrong and Ward [1991]. Their figures have the same format as those presented here. A major turning point in Cordilleran tectonics occurred about 55 Ma ago. During the Mesozoic, magmatism in the Cordillera had been episodic on a scale of tens of million years and had been distributed in long linear belts parallel to the entire length of the continental margin. Between 85 and 55 Ma the behavior of U.S. and Canadian sectors departed from this simple pattern. In Canada the magmatic belt remained essentially continuous and parallel to the continental margin. A weak culmination of magmatic activity at about 80 Ma was followed by a decline to a pronounced minimum about 65 Ma, close to the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary [Armstrong, 1988]. By 60 Ma the tempo of magmatism in the north accelerated rapidly, and at some time between 60 and 55 Ma the tectonic style north of 42ø departed from this simple pattern. In Canada the magmatic belt was disrupted after 80 Ma by the Laramide magmatic gap [Armstrong, 1974b], which spread over California, Nevada, and Utah as magmatism shifted inland to the Rocky Mountain region and greatly diminished in intensity [Coney and Reynolds, 1977]. The magmatic gap was to remain a prominent feature of Cordilleran magmatic patterns until about 22 Ma ago.

Laramide-style uplifts of basement rock in the Montana and Wyoming Rocky Mountains appear to have ceased to rise by 55 Ma. In Wyoming the uplifts are unconformably overlain by volcanic rocks and volcanioclastic sedimentary rocks of 55-50 Ma age [Dickinson et al., 1988]. Farther south, the uplifts in the Southern or Colorado-New Mexico Rocky Mountains may have continued to rise actively until somewhat later, until about 40 Ma according to Dickinson et al. [1988]. By 55 Ma the Laramide magmatic episode had virtually ended in Arizona [Damon and Mauger, 1966], had contracted to a persistent magmatic locus in central Colorado, and was waning in the Black Hills region of South Dakota and Wyoming [Shafiquillah et al., 1980; Snyder et al., 1976; Mutschler et al., 1988].

40-55 Ma (Plate 1)

The Kamloops-Challis-Absaroka volcanic episode [Armstrong, 1974a] dominates this time interval. At its conclusion this magmatic belt extended from southern Idaho to Alaska. Its eastern margin from its sharp and precisely located in the Coast Plutonic Complex of British Columbia. It generally varies in composition from calc-alkaline on the west to distinctly alkaline on the east, but the chemical patterns are locally complicated [Larsen, 1940; Lipman et al., 1971, 1972; Ewing, 1981; Holder and Holder, 1988; O'Brien et al., 1991]. The curvature of the axis of the magmatic belt into central Montana is a notable feature, partly inherited from the Laramide magmatic pattern, a locus of exceptionally intense magmatism that swept northeastward from the Sierra Nevada into central Montana over the time interval 80-65 Ma [Armstrong and Ward, 1991]. After 45 Ma, magmatism in the Kamloops-Challis-Absaroka belt waned dramatically, and at the same time a southward transgression of intermediate-composition magmatism, including voluminous ash flows from calderas, began [Lipman et al., 1972; Armstrong and Higgins, 1973]. This is shown by the larger outlined arrows on Plate 1. In Alaska the name "Skagway-Ketchikan-Prince Rupert" [Brew, 1988] is used for a part of the much larger Paleogene magmatic belt.

Dying remnants of Laramide magmatic fields show on Plate 1 in the Black Hills (where a westward movement of activity is hinted at by a short arrow), in central Colorado (last gasp of the Laramide episode in the Colorado mineral belt), and in southern Arizona (end of the Laramide episode of Damon and Mauger [1966]). Along the southern edge of the map the Laramide activity was followed by a shift of magmatism into southwestern Texas in middle Eocene time, about 47-40 Ma ago [Wilson et al., 1968; Hoffer, 1970; Henry and McDowell, 1986]. This completed the late Mesozoic to early Cenozoic eastward sweep of magmatism noted by Coney and Reynolds [1977].

The remaining magmatic features of Plate 1 are three belts along the western margin of the continent. The southernmost is the Coast Range belt of basaltic island volcanoes of Oregon and Washington [Duncan, 1982] and Metchison belt of southern Vancouver Island [Moller, 1980; Massey, 1986]. This region was not firmly attached to North America at the time of construction of the older volcanoes (basalt accumulation spanned 62 to 41 Ma) [Duncan, 1982; McElwirn and Duncan, 1984; Heller et al., 1987]. These volcanic accumulations are notable for the large and variable tectonic rotations that have accumulated during Cenozoic time [Wells and Heller, 1988]. The amount
of northward motion of the component parts of this belt with respect to North America is uncertain. A northward movement of a few hundred kilometers is suspected but difficult to quantify [Beck, 1984]. Accretion occurred in middle Eocene time, about 50-42 Ma ago [Muller, 1980; Heller and Ryberg, 1983; Armentrout, 1987].

The Flores Volcanic-Catface Intrusive belt on Vancouver Island [Carson, 1973; Isachsen, 1987; Massey and Armstrong, 1989] and the Fairweather-Baranof belt in Alaska [Brew, 1988] are continent-margin calc-alkaline magmatic belts of enigmatic tectonic setting. The 55-40 Ma magmatic rocks on Vancouver Island and in the foothills of the Cascade Range in Washington appear to be almost exactly coincident in age with the inland Kamloops-Challis-Absaroka magmatic belt. The Alaskan magmatic belt is the same, to slightly younger in age (49-39 Ma according to Brew [1988]). Cowan [1982] suggested that the Alaskan Eocene magmatic belt is offset by strike-slip faulting during later Cenozoic time from original continuity with the geologically similar Vancouver Island Eocene magmatic belt.

25-40 Ma (Plate 2)

By 35 Ma [Smith et al., 1980; Priest and Vogt, 1983; Richards and McTaggart, 1976] the Cascade volcanic arc became established close to its present location in Oregon, Washington, and southern British Columbia. It remained a persistent magmatic feature for the rest of Cenozoic time, undergoing only modest shifts in position and variations in intensity [McBirney et al., 1974; Armstrong, 1975; Armstrong et al., 1985].

The southward transgression of an east-west trending belt of magmatism in Nevada and Utah is a dominant feature of Plate 2. This transgression was first documented by Armstrong et al. [1969] and Armstrong [1970] and later discussed by Lipman et al. [1972], Noble [1972], Stewart et al. [1977], and Burke and McKee [1979], among others.

Migmatic activity in the San Juan Mountains and nearby areas in Colorado and in the Basin and Range and Rio Grande rift areas of Arizona and New Mexico expanded dramatically at the same time as the Great Basin ignimbrite flareup, but the two major Oligocene magmatic fields remained separated until after 25-22 Ma by the Laramide magmatic gap. Over the time interval illustrated, the Colorado and Arizona-New Mexico magmatic fields expanded independently and eventually merged into one as the Rio Grande rift began its development. As part of this expanding activity, magmatism in southern Arizona migrated westward at 3-4 cm/a [Shafiquallah et al., 1980]. Several Colorado Plateau laccolithic centers and diatremes were emplaced, mostly later in this time interval, in an alkaline, small-volume, scattered-center magmatic field that almost linked the large southern (Arizona-Colorado) and northern (Nebraska-Utah) magmatic regions [Naeser, 1971; Armstrong, 1975; Armstrong et al., 1985].

An aspect of the merged volcanic belt that has received considerable discussion is the change in volcanic composition and eruptive style from predominantly intermediate chemistry with typical ash flow eruption style to one of bimodal chemistry, predominantly basaltic eruptions. This was first discussed by McKee [1971], Lipman et al. [1971, 1972], Noble [1972], and Christiansen and Lipman [1972], and the time-transgressive pattern of this change is shown by Armstrong and Higgins [1973]. In the southernmost areas the transition occurred earliest, perhaps as early as 25 Ma ago [Crowe et al., 1979]. The change spread rapidly northward between 20 and 17 Ma, connecting with the Columbia Plateau basalt eruptions at 17 Ma. By 15-12 Ma the change to bimodal, mostly basaltic magmatic style had occurred over most volcanic fields of the western United States, the principal exception being the Cascade Arc [Priest, 1989]. A brief interruption of magmatic activity at this time of changing magmatic style is recognized in several papers [McKee et al., 1970; Chapin and Seager, 1975; Aldrich et al., 1986].

Also approximately coincident with the merging of the larger magmatic fields was a volcanic episode (24-22 Ma according to Stanley [1987]) of considerable extent but modest volume on the Pacific plate, west of the San Andreas fault in southwestern California [Johnson and O'Neil, 1984; Fox et al., 1985; Stanley, 1987]. The Mojave field was presumably coextensive as well as coincident in time with this volcanic area. The two fields are interpreted to be one, split by movement along the San Andreas fault [Matthews, 1973]. Pilger and Henley [1979] and Tennyson [1989] emphasize that this magmatic event preceded the arrival of transform faulting in southwestern California. In middle Miocene time (15 Ma ago) a north migrating and expanding magmatic field appeared just northeast of the San Andreas fault in central California.

As the Basin and Range magmatic field expanded both...
southeastward and westward (in step with the outward propagation of extensive strain) [Armstrong et al., 1969; Armstrong, 1970; Scott et al., 1971; Armstrong et al., 1972], the Rio Grande Rift magmatic belt propagated northward and gradually became more narrowly focused. The last of the Colorado Plateau laccolith centers were emplaced early in the time interval of Plate 3.

In the northwestern states and southern British Columbia, eruption of basalt from fissures greatly expanded the area of magmatic activity both eastward and northward. The Columbia River Basalt of the Columbia Plateau region is the better known and most voluminous result of these eruptions [Christiansen and McKee, 1978; Hooper and Swanson, 1987], but lesser volumes of contemporaneous and younger basalt occur throughout much of the Intermontane Belt of British Columbia as older parts of the “Plateau Basalt” or “Chilcotin Basalt” [Mathews, 1988, 1989]. The Columbia River Basalt spread across the Cascades into coastal Oregon and Washington, and contemporaneous basalts were erupted as well in northern California and Nevada. The time-transgressive bimodal magmatism of the Snake River Plain began about 15 Ma ago in western Idaho at the time of the Columbia River Basalt event, and bimodal volcanism became widespread over much of eastern Oregon.

The Cascade arc are continued throughout this time interval as a stable feature, stretching from Oregon to southwestern British Columbia, in virtually the same position as its Oligocene predecessor. There is disagreement as to whether Cascade magmatism was interrupted [Priest, 1989] or accelerated [McBirney et al., 1974] at the time of the Columbia Plateau basalt episode. The persistent magmatic locus in Montana finally became extinct during the Miocene.

At 54°-55°N during the time interval of Plate 3 the Masset magmatic activity of the Queen Charlotte Islands diminished near extinction. Magmatic activity shifted from the Masset centers eastward to begin the Anahim Magmatic Belt hot spot trace [Bevier et al., 1979; Southner, 1986] as the Masset area itself was displaced northward on strike slip faults that parallel the continental margin [Young, 1981; Yorath and Hyndman, 1983]. Early Miocene basalts occur in the interior of British Columbia at these latitudes [Church, 1973], but they are volumetrically insignificant.

Early in the late Oligocene to late Miocene time interval of Plate 3 scattered calc-alkaline to alkaline magmatic activity continued in southeastern Alaska [Brew, 1988] and northwesternmost British Columbia. In addition, early to middle Miocene basalt has been discovered on the east shore of Atlin Lake, east of the Coast Mountains in northwestern British Columbia [Bultman, 1979; University of British Columbia (UBC), unpublished data, 1980].

After about 15 Ma, magmatism shifted northeastward to form the calc-alkaline Wrangell belt, which initially extended from Alaska southeastward across the southwestern corner of the Yukon into northeastern British Columbia [Skulska, 1988]. About 15 Ma ago a large peralkaline volcanic shield (Level Mountain) began growing in northwestern British Columbia (unpublished UBC K-Ar dating for the Geological Survey of Canada) and by 10-8 Ma that magmatic field had expanded southward into the Mount Edziza area [Souther et al., 1984]. Volcanic rocks at several localities in the north in the Yukon have been inferred to be Miocene [e.g., Tempelman-Kluit, 1974, 1976; Noel, 1979], but are actually Cretaceous [Grond et al., 1984].

0-10 Ma (Plate 4)

During the last 10 Ma there have been few major changes in the overall magmatic pattern. The areas of Quaternary magmatism are much the same as those of late Miocene time. The Basin and Range magmatic field has continued to slowly expand. Its early "cores", in Nevada and southern Arizona, have become virtually extinct. This pattern is illustrated in Plate 4. The waning stages are typically represented by small vents erupting basanitic, nodule-bearing basalt [Wilsphere and Shervais, 1975; Smith and Luedke, 1984]. Magmatism, mostly of small volume and bimodal-basaltic character, has concentrated near the expanding margins of the magmatic field. The east migrating eastern margin of the Basin and Range in Idaho, Wyoming, and northern Utah is tied to the migrating Yellowstone hot spot [Pierce et al., 1988; Anders et al., 1989; Westaway, 1989a, 1989b]. Elsewhere magmatism and uplift appear to advance sooner into stable areas than extensional strain, as for example along the eastern, western, and southwestern edge of the Colorado Plateau [McKee and Anderson, 1971; Best and Hamblin, 1978; Rowley et al., 1978; Best et al., 1980]. Rates of extensional strain declined overall during this interval [Zoback et al., 1981; Eaton, 1984; Wernicke et al., 1988].

A notable feature of this time is the development of the Snake River Plain, documented by Armstrong et al. [1975]. Silicic magmatism, followed by bimodal and basaltic eruptions, has migrated northeastward up the axis of the eastern Snake River Plain to a present locus in Yellowstone Park in northwestern Wyoming. A much weaker and parallel migration of magmatism has occurred into the Clayton-Raton area of northeastern New Mexico and southeastern Colorado [Suppe et al., 1975]. Lipman [1980] has argued that this is not the end of a long-lived hot spot trace. Almost perpendicular is the trend of migration and expansion of the magmatic field that lies just east of the San Andreas fault in central western California. Activity there is currently centered in the The Geysers-Clear Lake area [McLaughlin and Donnelly-Nolan, 1981; Fox et al., 1985; Stanley, 1987].

The other magmatic features south of 42°N are scattered bimodal volcanic occurrences in southwestern California and around the Gulf of California, the remnants of the central Colorado-Rio Grande rift persistent magmatic locus, and one field of peculiar extremely potassic alkaline magmas in southern Wyoming [McDowell, 1971]. In the northwestern states, the Cascade arc has persisted through the last 10 Ma, with its magmatic front migrating eastward in central Oregon [McBirney, 1978; Priest, 1989] and jumping westward in British Columbia [Armstrong et al., 1985]. The young basaltic centers in eastern Oregon are largely located in a narrow belt extending east-southeast from Newberry volcano [Ouffiani and Weaver, 1988]. Two areas of late basalt eruption on the Columbia Plateau are shown on Plate 4. That field is now extinct.

In central and southern British Columbia the eruption of "Plateau" and "Valley" basalts has continued into the Holocene over an extensive region, but the volumes erupted are small [Bevier, 1983; Mathews and Rouse, 1986; Mathews, 1988, 1989]. The Anahim Belt has migrated to the Nazko area [Souther, 1984] and another vent cluster has appeared in the Clearwater area [Hickson and Souther, 1984]. These features are shown on Plate 4 by the larger outlined arrows.

A short-lived magmatic belt on northern Vancouver Island, the Alert Bay Belt, was active about 3±1 Ma ago, during the westward jump of the Cascade Belt from the Pemberton to
the Garibaldi axes [Armstrong et al., 1985]. Scattered young basalt fields occur on the Queen Charlotte Islands and in southeastern Alaska. The Quaternary Edgecomb field, of bimodal chemistry, lies farthest west, close to the currently active strike-slip North American plate boundary [Kosko, 1981; Meyers and Marsh, 1981].

In northern British Columbia, Level Mountain has become extinct, and there has been an expansion of the Edizka field [Souther et al., 1984] from peralkaline central volcanos to widespread small-volume basalt vents (unpublished UBC K-Ar dating for the Geological Survey of Canada). The Wrangell arc has contracted so that its activity is now entirely within Alaska [Skulski, 1988]. Scattered late Miocene to Pleistocene basalt vents occur in the southern Yukon, east of the Coast Mountains.

**MAGMATIC CORE COMPLEXES IN TIME AND SPACE**

Davis and Coney [1979], Coney [1979, 1980], Davis [1980], and Armstrong [1982] have reviewed the general characteristics of Cordilleran metamorphic core complexes. These are exposures of ductilely deformed middle crust exposed in structural culminations in an extensional tectonic environment. It has been long known that the core complexes become younger southwards in the United States and occur in close association with extensive regional magmatism. The association of magmatism and large-magnitude extension was first recognized by Anderson [1971] (with discussion and reply by Thompson and Anderson [1971]), and this association with core complexes is commented on in all the reviews cited and is emphasized in recent papers by Coney [1987], Hamilton [1987], Gans [1987], Brown and Journeay [1987], Wernicke et al. [1987], Gans et al. [1989], McCarthy and Thompson [1988], Thompson and McCarthy [1990], Lachenbruch and Morgan [1990], and Luchitta [1990]. Table 1 lists a number of core complexes or closely related areas of ductile deformation and low-angle faulting where structural and geochronometric studies have defined the age of ductile deformation associated with uplift and rapid cooling of the metamorphic rocks. This information has been obtained by U-Pb, K-Ar, fission track, and Rb-Sr dating of prekinematic, synkinematic and postkinematic igneous phases and minerals to determine crystallization ages and cooling histories. The common and long-recognized association of igneous rocks and deformation in metamorphic core complexes has often been the key to providing rocks whose ages closely bracket the times of deformation. Most of the literature cited was published within the last decade. Not listed in Table 1 are many areas where K-Ar dates have been reset but where evidence for synchronous ductile strain is lacking and areas where brittle extension has occurred but which lack exposed rocks with ductile strain.

The locations and times of ductile deformation are plotted on a series of maps (Figures 2-5), similar in format to those showing the magmatic evolution of the Cordillera between Alaska and Mexico. The point to be made is simple and emphatic. The core complexes form only within the largest magmatic belts and fields. They do not form where there is little or no magmatic activity, and there is often magmatic activity without the formation of core complexes. The figures are a graphical demonstration of the association. Extension directions for most of these metamorphic core complexes have been compiled and illustrated by Wust [1986a].

**40-55 Ma (Figure 2)**

From central British Columbia to central Idaho all the metamorphic core complexes formed in early to middle Eocene time, coincident with the Eocene magmatic episode. At the international boundary (49°N latitude) the area affected by ductile deformation of Eocene age is nearly as wide as the magmatic arc, extending from Cascade and Coast Mountains (Ross Lake and Bridge River areas) on the west to the eastern flank of the Shuswap Complex (Valhalla and Spokane domes) on the east.

**25-40 Ma (Figure 3)**

Ductile extension structures of this age occur in two areas, each within major magmatic fields. The large core complexes of southern Idaho, eastern Nevada, and northwestern Utah formed synchronously with the ignimbrite transgression through Nevada. In southern Arizona, some ductile strain is reported to be as old as the time interval illustrated here, but most is immediately later.

**10-25 Ma (Figure 4)**

Some ductile strain in the eastern Great Basin continued into this time interval, but the focus of ductile (and brittle) extension was in the Colorado River Corridor and adjacent parts of southern California and western and southern Arizona [Glasner and Bartley, 1984; Howard and John, 1987; Sherrod et al., 1987; Miller and John, 1988; Davis, 1988; and Davis and Lister, 1988]. Davis and Lister [1988] infer rates of extension greater than 1 cm/a in the Whipple Mountains between 20 and 18 Ma ago. Brittle extension occurred over a much wider area [Proffett, 1977; Rowley et al., 1978; Morgan et al., 1986], and this is usually viewed as the main period of development of the Basin and Range structure [e.g., Eaton, 1984]. A major reorientation of regional stress in the western United States occurred 26-17 Ma ago (Eaton et al. [1978] give approximately 17 Ma for the time of change in the Great Basin; Wust [1986a] gives 24 Ma for the core complexes; Aldrich et al. [1986] give about 23 Ma for the Rio Grande rift region; Best [1988] gives 26-18 Ma for western Utah; and Ren et al. [1989] give 30 to 14 Ma for the eastern Great Basin). This change is stress orientation is notable in the contrast between Eocene and Oligocene metamorphic core complexes, with WNW lineations, and Miocene and younger metamorphic core complexes with WSW lineations, as shown on the compilation of Wust [1986a]. Further reorientation occurred about 10 Ma ago in the northern Basin and Range [Zoback et al., 1981], probably in response to migration of the Yellowstone plume and to increased coupling to the San Andreas transform system.

**0-10 Ma (Figure 5)**

Areas where ductile strain of this age is documented are few and nearly all are in the Death Valley to Salton Sea region of southern California [Hodges et al., 1987; Asmerom et al., 1990]. Rates of extension over the entire Basin and Range are inferred to be much less than earlier in the Miocene [Zoback et al., 1981; Wernicke et al., 1988].

**DISCUSSION**

The association of magmatism and core complexes leads to the deduction that magmatism is a necessary precondition to the formation of metamorphic core complexes, because it leads to elevated geotherms and a consequent weakening of the entire lithosphere so that extension may occur. The effects of heat on lithosphere strength were emphasized by Burchfiel and Davis [1975], Cross and Pilger [1978], and Davis [1980] and are discussed more recently by Lipman et al. [1986], Lynch and Morgan [1987], and Gaudemer et al. [1988].
### TABLE 1. Times of Ductile Deformation in Metamorphic Core Complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Time of Ductile Deformation</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Coast Plutonic</td>
<td>51 Ma</td>
<td>van der Heyden [1989]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tatla Lake</td>
<td>56-47 Ma</td>
<td>Friedman and Armstrong [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Valhalla</td>
<td>59-52 Ma</td>
<td>Parrish et al. [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Okanagan</td>
<td>60-47 Ma</td>
<td>Parrish et al. [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bridge River</td>
<td>45-40 Ma</td>
<td>Monger et al. [1984] and Potter [1986]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>North Cascades</td>
<td>~45 Ma</td>
<td>Babcock et al. [1984]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Okanagan Dome</td>
<td>~48, &lt;65 Ma</td>
<td>Hansen and Goodge [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Kettle Dome</td>
<td>Late Cretaceous to Eocene</td>
<td>Cheney [1980] and Rhodes and Cheney [1981]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Newport fault-</td>
<td>48-45 Ma</td>
<td>Miller [1971], Harms and Price [1983], &gt;50 Ma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spokane Dome</td>
<td></td>
<td>Armstrong et al. [1987], Bickford et al. [1985], and Rhodes and Hyndman [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bitterroot Range</td>
<td>46-44 Ma</td>
<td>Garmeyzy and Suter [1983]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>577-49-46 Ma</td>
<td>Hyndman and Myers [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52-42 Ma</td>
<td>Chase et al. [1983]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pioneer Mountains</td>
<td>&lt;40 Ma</td>
<td>Wust [1986b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;58-&lt;40 Ma</td>
<td>O'Neil and Pavlis [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45-48 Ma and 36-33 Ma</td>
<td>Silverberg [1990]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Wood Hills</td>
<td>56-47 Ma</td>
<td>Thompson and Snee [1983]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ruby Mountains</td>
<td>25-24 Ma</td>
<td>Dokka et al. [1986]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45-20 Ma</td>
<td>Dallmeyer et al. [1986]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45-23 Ma</td>
<td>Snod and Miller [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Snake Range</td>
<td>36-&lt;24 Ma</td>
<td>Lee et al. [1987] and Miller et al. [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Albion Range</td>
<td>34-17 Ma</td>
<td>Armstrong [1976]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30-15 Ma</td>
<td>Snoke and Miller [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Raft River</td>
<td>507-20 Ma</td>
<td>Compton et al. [1977]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mountains-</td>
<td>-25 Ma</td>
<td>Todd [1980]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grouse Creek Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mineral Ridge</td>
<td>-17 Ma</td>
<td>McKee [1983]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Trappson Hills</td>
<td>-14 Ma</td>
<td>McKee [1983]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Bullfrog Hills</td>
<td>-11 Ma</td>
<td>McKee [1983]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Grapevine-</td>
<td>11-7 Ma</td>
<td>Glazner and Bartley [1984]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panamint</td>
<td>12-&lt;5 Ma</td>
<td>M.W. Reynolds et al. [1986]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 1. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Time of Ductile Deformation</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Colorado River</td>
<td>22-17 Ma</td>
<td>Howard and John [1987]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Whipple Mountains</td>
<td>19-16 Ma</td>
<td>Anderson et al. [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Rawhide Mountains</td>
<td>20-18 Ma</td>
<td>Davis and Lister [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Big Maria Mountains</td>
<td>20-16 Ma</td>
<td>Davis [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Central Mojave</td>
<td>20 Ma</td>
<td>Glazner and Bartley [1984]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22-17 Ma</td>
<td>Dokka [1988, 1989]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Yuba Desert</td>
<td>&lt;5 Ma</td>
<td>Isaac et al. [1986]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Peninsular Range</td>
<td>&lt;24, &gt;5 Ma</td>
<td>Engel and SchulteJann [1984]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SchulteJann [1984]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>South Mountain</td>
<td>25-20 Ma</td>
<td>S.J. Reynolds et al. [1986]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Pinaleno</td>
<td>&lt;25 Ma</td>
<td>Glazner and Bartley [1984]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Rincon</td>
<td>28-24 Ma</td>
<td>Glazner and Bartley [1984]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Catalina</td>
<td>21-17 Ma</td>
<td>Anderson et al. [1988]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25-20 Ma</td>
<td>Reynolds et al. [1986]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24-16 Ma</td>
<td>Dickinson and Shafqullah [1989]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35-22 Ma</td>
<td>Davy et al. [1989]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Bullard fault</td>
<td>25-17 Ma</td>
<td>Reynolds and Spencer [1985]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A much longer list of areas of crustal extension or low-angle faulting could be compiled, as, for example by Glazner and Bartley [1984], but such information would only diffuse the focus of this paper on the metamorphic core complexes.

The cross reference numbers are plotted on Figures 2-5, as appropriate. There is not enough room on the figures to include either names or times of ductile deformation.

Magmatism does not of itself necessarily cause convergence or extension. That depends mostly on the far-field plate tectonic forces acting on a region [Molnar and Atwater, 1978; Chase, 1978; Cross and Pilger, 1982] and inherited topography and crustal thickness (as reviewed by Dewey [1988]). Magmatism may contribute to uplift and crustal thickening and, consequently, favor extension but is not alone decisive. Magmatic heating allows deformation to occur. During the Mesozoic there was abundant magmatism without large-scale extension. The Cordilleran region was evidently under compression (maximum principal stress generally east-west) and once weakened by heating, failed in convergent style [Burchfiel and Davis, 1975; Allmendinger and Jordan, 1981]. The maximum principal stress axis in the upper crust has apparently been vertical during most of Cenozoic time, starting in northern areas about 55 Ma ago, and migrating southward through the western United States by about 40 Ma ago. Extension has occurred wherever and whenever weakness prevailed. After about 30 Ma ago the growth of the San Andreas transform [Atwater, 1970; Dickinson, 1981] system added further complication to Cordilleran tectonic and magmatic patterns but did not
fundamentally change the extensional tectonic regime. The explanations for magmatism and stress within the Cordilleran lithosphere may be interrelated but must also be somewhat independent of one another.

**Burning the Lithosphere Bridge**

The rapid production of core complexes of amazing extent in the Colorado River corridor has been commented on by many workers, often in puzzlement (Davis, 1980; Glazner and Barley, 1984; Howard and John, 1987; Miller and John, 1988; Davis, 1988; Davis and Lister, 1988). Why is this phenomena so extremely developed in this particular area and at one certain time, about 20-18 Ma ago? Contemplation of the maps showing changing patterns of magmatic activity provides an explanation that further elaborates on the theme that cold lithosphere is strong and areas of a magmatically sustained steep geothermal gradient are thoroughly weak. The unique circumstance that began the time of hyperextension is the merging of Arizona and Nevada magmatic fields at about 25-22 Ma, first documented by Armstrong and Higgins (1973). This created a new

---

**Fig. 2.** Magmatic belts and location of ductile deformation in Cenozoic metamorphic core complexes for the time interval 40-55 Ma ago (early and middle Eocene time). The core complexes occur in the areas shown by a darker pattern. The numbers in those areas are keyed to the complexes listed in Table 1.

**Fig. 3.** Magmatic belts and location of ductile deformation in Cenozoic metamorphic core complexes for the time interval 25-40 Ma ago (late Eocene to latest Oligocene time). The core complexes occur in the areas shown by a darker pattern. The numbers in those areas are keyed to the complexes listed in Table 1.
Fig. 4. Magmatic belts and location of ductile deformation in Cenozoic metamorphic core complexes for the time interval 10-25 Ma ago (latest Oligocene to early late Miocene time). The core complexes occur in the areas shown by a darker pattern. The numbers in those areas are keyed to the complexes listed in Table 1.

tectonic regime, a continuous magmatic belt from Mexico to Canada for the first time since about 80 Ma ago. Until about 22 Ma the Laramide magmatic gap remained as a "bridge" of cold lithosphere constraining extension in adjacent areas. When that bridge ceased to exist (referred to above as "burning the bridge"), the constraint was removed. Extension of unusual rate and magnitude followed linkage of the magmatic fields within a few million years, and continued for several million years, until the previously accumulated stresses were accommodated and a new steady state with forces resisting plate motion was achieved. In early to middle Miocene time California became a separate microplate, able to rotate and move away from North America at rates that may have approached several centimeters per year. It is noteworthy that large tectonic rotations in the Transverse Ranges occurred during middle Miocene time [Hornafius et al., 1986] and that the last episode of accretion of the Franciscan Complex, in the King Range area, occurred just after middle Miocene time [McLaughlin et al., 1982]. These events coincided with the brief time interval during which California was a rapidly

Fig. 5. Magmatic belts and location of ductile deformation in Cenozoic metamorphic core complexes for the time interval 0-10 Ma ago (late Miocene to Holocene time). The core complexes occur in the areas shown by a darker pattern. The numbers in those areas are keyed to the complexes listed in Table 1.
westward moving microplate. Paleomagnetic measurements cannot detect purely longitudinal motion, but reconstruction of the intersection of the Mendocino Fracture Zone with the edge of the continent must take these westward displacements of California into account [e.g., Stock and Molnar, 1988].

**COMMENTS ON EXPLANATIONS FOR MAGMATISM**

The abundant literature on Cenozoic magmatism and faulting in the Cordilleran region is full of explanations for the phenomena observed, and the truth probably incorporates many of the proposed processes. In this section we comment on the various proposals and the observations that they explain.

Large magmatic belts parallel to the continental margin are conventionally viewed as magmatic arcs of Andean style. Hamilton [1969] and Dickinson [1970] emphasized this and they were hardly the first to recognize the similarity. It can be found in much earlier papers, for example, by Daly [1933] and Stille [1940]. This is a traditional first-order view, supported by study of modern arcs, and generally accepted today for the Cascades. Lipman et al. [1971, 1972] so liked this idea that they proposed double magmatic arcs (one normal and one cryptic, without surface expression of subduction), based on volcanic chemistry, for the early Cenozoic. Burchfiel and Davis [1975], Snyder et al. [1976], Cross and Pilger [1978], Leeman [1982b], and many other authors further support the magmatic arc viewpoint but usually in the simpler form of a single slab being subducted under the Cordilleran region. Lipman [1980], likewise, now advocates a single-slab model.

Extension within active arcs is a common observation [Healy, 1962; Tobisch et al., 1986; Smith et al., 1987], and is not incompatible with subduction [Molnar and Lyon-Caen, 1988; Sébrier et al., 1988]. In fact, subduction of older crust may even create trench suction and cause within-arc and back arc extension [Dewey, 1980; Cross and Pilger, 1982; Burchfiel and Royden, 1982]. Back arc extension has been emphasized in discussions of the Great Basin by Scholz et al. [1971], Thompson and Burke [1974], Stewart [1978], Cross and Pilger [1978], Davis [1980], and Eaton [1980] and in many later papers.

The Laramide magmatic gap is usually explained by a change from a shallow (e.g., 30° dip of downgoing plate) to a shallower (e.g., nearly 60° dip of downgoing plate) subduction zone. Another factor responsible for magmatic fields is deep mantle plumes [Armstrong et al., 1975; Suppe et al., 1975; Leeman, 1982a; Iyer, 1984; Brandon and Goles, 1988; Wescawaya, 1989a, 1989b]. These are invoked not only for their magmatic contribution but also as significant plate driving forces by Matthews and Anderson [1973] and Smith and Sbar [1974]. Some authors envision a more generalized large-scale mantle upwelling as important [Thompson, 1972; Smith, 1978; Eaton et al., 1978; Best and Hamblin, 1978; Gough, 1986], and this overlaps conceptually with the slab-window and subducted ocean ridge explanations, being only a little more vague as to the specific physical cause of the upwelling. Mantle upwelling is generally prescribed as the explanation of the bimodal, predominantly basalt magmatic association closely linked with crustal extension [Christiansen and Lipman, 1972; Leeman, 1982b].

Magma volumes in purely extensional continental regimes above upwelling mantle, apart from areas blamed on deep and energetic plumes, are generally small. The rhyolite component is attributed to extreme fractionation of primary basalt or melting of lower crust by heat from the mantle-derived basalt. As the system wanes, nodules-bearing basanite becomes common [Smith and Luedke, 1984; Wilshire and Sherwais, 1975].
Propagating fractures and lineament controls have been advocated as an alternative to plume traces by Smith [1978], Christiansen and McKee [1978], and Lipman [1980], but many deeply rooted fractures are not associated with magmatism and fracture alone would seem inadequate as the cause of a thermal perturbation capable of generating large amounts of magma.

Thick crust, inherited from Mesozoic orogeny, was suggested as one of the controls on extension and core complex formation by Armstrong [1972] and recently by Conen and Harms [1984], Conen [1987], Brown and Journeay [1987], Malavieille [1987], and Gaudemer et al. [1988]. This can be only a partial explanation for core complex location and nucleation. It provides a simple explanation for locally higher crustal temperatures and local forces favorable to extension. But a magmatic trigger and suitable regional stresses are also necessary to explain the association we observe between magmatism and core complexes and their large strains.

The interplay of magmatism and crustal extension is emphasized in recent discussions of the geophysical images of extensional orogens [Klemperer et al., 1986; Potter et al., 1986; Allmendinger et al., 1987; McCarthy et al., 1987; McCarthy and Thompson, 1988; de Voogd et al., 1988; Valasek et al., 1989; Thompson and McCarthy, 1990]. Magma in these interpretations plays multiple roles: space filling and magma inflation of the lower crust solves volume and isostatic problems, and recently emplaced horizontal intrusive sheets may be the lower crust layers imaged as horizontal reflectors of seismic energy. A flat Moho, apparently undisturbed beneath intensely faulted upper crust, is a puzzling observation in all the extensional orogens that have been studied. The lower crust must be extremely ductile, and what more suitable material to redistribute by flow, than magma, or rocks softened by injection of magma? This carries the view of conditions in the roots of core complexes a step beyond the recognition that lower crust strength is reduced by heating. When magma accumulates in abundance in the lower crust its strength must virtually disappear. de Voogd et al. [1986] present evidence that magma sheets are present today in the Death Valley region, where the youngest ductile extension structures are found. Weak lower crust is a conclusion dictated by core complex geometry [Block and Royden, 1990] and seismic reflectors in the crust and mantle [Reston, 1990].

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The complex and changing pattern of magmatic evolution of the North American Cordillera requires a multifaceted explanation. In this paper we have presented evidence, in the form of maps showing the localities where isotopic dating has been done, and from that data, and extensive literature review, we have outlined the magmatic fields that existed during four successive time intervals (55-40, 40-25, 25-10, and 10-0 Ma). We have not attempted to explain these patterns in terms of a specific plate motion model, nor dated from extensively. After approximately 80 Ma the continuous magmatic belt that lay parallel to the continent margin broke up into two belts, separated by a Laramide magmatic gap that linked California to the cratonic interior of North America. Orogenic compression continued between Mexico and Alaska until 55 Ma, creating the last stages of the fold and thrust belt (from Montana northward and from southern Arizona into Mexico) and most of the thick-skinned, basement-uplift structures in the Eastern Rocky Mountains (between Montana and New Mexico). Magmatism declined to a minimum at about the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary.

During the early and middle Eocene a distinct magmatic culmination, peaking at 50 Ma, formed the Kamloops-Challis-Absaroka belt north of 42°. Its southern end curved into cratonic parts of Montana, mimicking a Late Cretaceous to Paleocene magmatic trend. Along the continent margin an oceanic basaltic island chain formed and was synmagmatically accreted in Oregon, Washington, and southern British Columbia and magmatism occurred near the edge of the continent from the foothills of the Cascades in Washington to Alaska. In Colorado and the Black Hills region and in southern Arizona, Laramide magmatic belts waned and shifted somewhat eastward during this time interval.

During late Eocene to late Oligocene time, magmatic activity in the north shifted into Cascade arc and Great Basin fields. Several continent margin magmatic fields persisted from Vancouver Island northward, and inland there was scattered basaltic magmatism. Magmatism in western New Mexico and the San Juan Mountains regions expanded and merged along the future trend of the Rio Grande rift. The large southern and northern magmatic fields were almost connected by scattered magmatic centers across the Colorado Plateau.

In late Oligocene through most of Miocene time the most notable magmatic development was the merging of the large northern and southern magmatic fields into one continuous and vigorous magmatic belt extending from Mexico to Canada. Further north, magmatism occurred in scattered fields of hot spot or within-plate character and in the Wrangell arc. The flood basalt episode of the Columbia Plateau occurred, the Snake River Plain magmatic transgression began, and the Cascade arc persisted in place. Magmatism rapidly spread over much of California southwest of the San Andreas fault, Colorado Plateau activity declined and Rio Grande rift activity became more focused on modern patterns. After the merger of the two major magmatic fields, about 22 Ma ago, there has been a general decline in the amount of magmatic activity and a change in most areas to the bimodal, predominantly basaltic, petrochemical association.

Time relationships suggest a link between merging of the two large magmatic fields, the consequent tectonic and magmatic effects in the Great Basin, and the subsequent eruption of the basalts of the Columbia Plateau. The northward propagation of accelerated rifting and change in magmatic petrochemistry reached the northwestern United States just at the moment the voluminous basalt eruptions began (17 Ma). The plateau basalt event cannot be the cause of the other phenomena because it is the last to occur. If it has an independent origin (e.g., plume breakout [Morgan, 1981; Richards et al., 1989; Loper, 1989] or meteorite impact [Alt et al., 1988]) the timing must be pure coincidence. We cannot explain how the northward propagation of new tectonic and magmatic patterns could cause, or trigger, a localized episode of plateau basalt volcanism. We only observe the sequence of events and offer that observation as a topic for future speculation.

Since late Miocene time, magmatism has been much like modern patterns. In the north, the Wrangell arc has shrunk into Alaska. Several continent margin and within-plate fields have waned and waned. Small-volume hot spot and back arc predominantly basaltic magmatism has occurred over much of southern British Columbia. The Cascade arc persisted from Canada to northern California, while back arc activity in the United States has diminished to little more
than the eastern plateau of Oregon. The Snake River Plain has continued to expand eastward. Magmatic activity has tended to be localized on the margins of the Basin and Range and Colorado Plateau provinces. In southwestern California a continent margin magmatic belt has moved northwestward to the Geysers–Clear Lake area.

Since nearly 60 Ma ago, the development of metamorphic core complexes has followed the locus of the largest voluminous intermediate composition magmatic fields. The association is suggestive of a close, necessary link between magmatism and crustal extension of sufficient magnitude to produce the structures associated with these complexes. The logical inference is that the emplacement of magma into the crust raises crustal temperatures, and, moreover, its very presence lowers the strength of the crust. Thus existing stresses are allowed to deform the crust. Magmatism thus explains the location and timing of core complex formation. The stresses may be inherited (magmatically or orogenically thickened crust) or immediately created (magmatic inflation, uplift above anomalous mantle) or imposed by the global pattern of plate motions and driving forces (the logical recourse when local explanations are inadequate). The interplay of magmatism and crustal extension is emphasized in numerous papers cited above. Since the Miocene, the rates of magmatism, extension, and core complex formation have declined. The thickened and magma-impregnated crust of earlier Cenozoic time has now collapsed, one of the extensional driving forces is neutralized by the collapse, and the crust has become stronger. The modern Basin and Range Province is not a suitable model for the situation that existed during local magmatic culminations. There must have been a much shallower ductile-ductile transition in the crust of the developing core complexes than exists today. Our sympathies for a rheological model for core complex times would thus lie more with the model of a brittle zone overlying a ductile zone in the crust (pure shear models of Thompson and Burke [1974] and Eaton [1980]) (graphically displayed by Davis and Lister [1988] as a melting chocolate bar (Mars™) in a frying pan) than with the idea that discrete low angle faults penetrate the entire crust to root in mantle asthenosphere (simple shear model of Wernicke [1981, 1985]. Wernicke [1990] now also favors a large degree of compensation within weak crust.

The singular event of early Miocene time, the merging of magmatic fields, explains several disconnected observations. The time and place of the hyperextension episode of the Colorado River corridor are explained by the coincidence of magmatism and the release of a tectonic constraint, the thermal destruction of the Laramide magmatic gap. This eliminated the cooler and consequently stronger lithosphere "bridge" that had connected California to central North America for the previous 50-60 Ma. The dramatic change in plate boundary conditions resulted in early Miocene reorientation of stress patterns across much of western North America. California was freed to move rapidly westward as an independent microplate. Within western California this precipitated dramatic tectonic movements - rotation of Transverse Ranges crustal blocks, rapid basin development, and a late episode of subduction in the northern Coast Ranges. The merger of magmatic fields and the magmatic flareup in southern California came before the onset of transform faulting in southwestern California [Pilger and Henley, 1979; Tennyson, 1989]. The rapid westward motion of California coincided with development of the San Andreas transform system. Northward migration of the southern magmatic field may have been linked to the northward migration of the Molokai–Mendocino triple junction, but northward migration of the northern magmatic field was certainly not. The two plate tectonic processes, transform growth and magmatic breakup of western North America, interacted. Miocene tectonics were not simply a consequence of the growing transform system and triple junction migration. Tectonic models that focus on the development of the San Andreas system as the singular explanation of continental margin geology are missing half the story!
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